STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 2

Command: Santa Cruz	Division: Coastal	Chapter:	
Inspected by: Thibodeau/Oz	Date: 03/29/2010		

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, con	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forw ont shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pra	Il in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter of level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide used if additional space is required.	
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level ☐ Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection:		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included	
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to: Coastal Div.			
☐ Yes	Due D	ate: 03/30/2010			
Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices: None. Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement:					
None.					
Inspector's Findings:					
None.					
Commander's Response: Concur or Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)					

Inspector's Comments:	Shall address non concurrence by commande	r (e.g.	, findings revised	l, findings unchanged,
etc.)				

None.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Santa Cruz	Coastal	17
Inspected by:	Date:	
Thibodeau/Oz	03/29/2010	

	110 110 110			
Required Action				
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline				

None.

Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	1. Manno so	4-14-10
(Occ 111 Wi 0.1), Onapter o for appear procedures.)	INSPECTOR'S SHOWATURE	DATE
	I had	3/39/10
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DÂTE
employee		1.1
☐ Do not concur	2.Della	4127110

STATE OF CALIFORNIA .

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Santa Cruz	Coastal	
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Bishop/Devery/T	hibodeau/Ozanne	03/29/2010

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation] Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE 04/30/2010			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Yes V No	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW P. Manney EVALUATED	ing	DATE 4-	1-10
1. COMMAND INVOLVEME	NT	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
Does the command en incidence of injuries incidence.	nphasize importance of proper enforc curred by officers?	cement tactics to achieve the lo	owest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the comman	der stress importance of proper enfo	prcement tactics, including use	of force?	✓ Yes	□ No
(2) Does the safety re	cord of the command reflect an awa	reness of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do the officers' Ch safety?	HP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance	Appraisals, contain comments	on officer	✓ Yes	□No
	d lieutenants knowledgeable of enfo d the correct use of safety equipmer		ods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge	applied properly in critiques of incide	nts involving officers and serge	eants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and	d lieutenants maintain a minimum lev	vel of enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they atten	d officer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not	involved in officer safety, what are to	he reasons?			
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFIC	CATION	X EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	K.
a. Do training records ind	icate formal training has been receiv	ed and certified?		✓ Yes	□No
	annual certification of traffic officers ethods of arrest, and the proper use recorded for:				
(a) Searching tec	hniques.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.				✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety	equipment.			✓ Yes	□No
(d) Suspect contr	ol.			✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and	felony stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage contro	ol.			✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisoner trans	portation.			✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control	head operation.			✓ Yes	□No

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(2)	ls t	ne command dedicati	ng enough time to	ward training?				✓ Yes	□No	
		(a)	Do training records i	reflect certification:	s for officers and	sergeants are co	urrent?		✓ Yes	□ No	
		(b)	Is there an establish and sergeants?	ned follow-up proce	edure to assure ti	mely recertificati	on of all	officers	☑ Yes	□No	
b.			supervisors review (general observations						✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Are	well-handled inciden	ts recorded for fut	ure training purpo	oses?			✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)		use of force situation what level of force, is		d to ascertain if a	II uniformed pers	onnel ur	nderstand when,	√ Yes	□No	
		(a)	Does an examination being made?	n of CHP 100, CH	P 118s, and citize	en complaints in	dicate a	through review is	✓ Yes	□ No	
		(b)	Do Area supervisors is made available?	notify those office	ers who are not p	roficient and ens	ure refre	esher training	✓ Yes	□No	
C.	ls re	efres	her training required	prior to certification	n?				✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Are	the number of trainin	ig hours necessary	y to accomplish c	ertification indica	ated on t	he CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No	
		(a)	Is any pattern of trai	ning weakness ap	parent?				✓ Yes	□No	
		(b)	Have necessary remcategories?	nedial steps been t	taken to assure th	horough and con	tinuous į	proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No	
d.	Doe	es th	e command have an	adequate number	of instructors?				✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	ls ir	structor proficiency n	naintained?					✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)	Has	an individual been g	iven responsibility	for the program?	?			✓ Yes	□No	
		(a)	Does that individual	ensure the quality	and level of prof	īciency is mainta	ined?		✓ Yes	□No	
	(3)	Are	there adequate and	properly maintaine	ed facilities and e	quipment availab	ole for off	ficer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(4)	Wh	at is the quality and q	uantity of the train	ing being given?						
	(5)	Hav	e the supervisor and	his/her alternate r	received proper to	raining?			✓ Yes	□No	
3. SA			QUIPMENT	7		EVALUATED X		ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED		
a.			esin Capsicum (OC) : duty, in uniform?	spray (pepper spra	ay) carried by all	uniformed perso	nnel, caş	otain and below,	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Is C	C spray used when t is utilized to subdue		ed? Are notation	s made on book	ing shee	ts when OC spray	☐ Yes	□No	N
	(2)	Whe	en an officer is assau spray on the CHP 12		occurs, are the s	upervisors noting	the use	e/nonuse of OC	☐ Yes	□No	N
	(3)	Are	individuals who are e water within 30 minu		ray decontaminat	ed by flushing th	e affecte	ed area with clear	□Yes		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_				-
	(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?	✓ Yes	□No	
b	. Are officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten the safety strap with one hand?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster- related exercises?	✓ Yes	□No	
С	. Are officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?	✓ Yes	□No	
d	. Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?	✓ Yes	□No	
е	. Do all uniformed personnel wear body armor?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	☐ Yes	□No	N/A
	(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination?	Yes	□No	NA
f.	Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?	✓ Yes	□No	-[.,
	(1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	✓ Yes	□No	
4. F	IREARMS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	5	
а	. Has the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?	✓ Yes	□No	
b	. Are shoots conducted as required by policy?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?	✓ Yes	□No	
С	Does the Area have a range officer?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2) Does the officer supervise all shoots?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(a) Has that officer received Academy training?	✓ Yes	□No	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

d	Are range fa	cilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, ha	as alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	Yes	□No NA
	(a) Do	plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐ Yes	□ No NA
	(b) Hav	ve future range needs been considered?	☐Yes	□ No NA
е	Is an effectiv	ve and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have sh	notguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are	all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is n	naintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are	shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have ta	ctical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are	all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is m	naintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is th	nere adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is th	nere an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there process	a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beg	inning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
		the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition on determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has	the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has	a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	√ Yes	□No
	(e) Has	the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have	e rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has	the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	qua	en ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, ntities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt nowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adh	ered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
		cation of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an all discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g.		training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the d	ates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do traini	ng dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3) Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per records)?	r inventory	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual among the shooting roster)?	ount expended	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training	days tested?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(5) Are records kept updated as training takes place?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(6) Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□ No	
	(7) Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instruction	✓ Yes	□ No	
	(8) Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No	
h	h. Is there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition involved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	n is not	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training info involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□No	
-	(2) Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition offic supervisor or backup employee?	cer and	✓ Yes	□No
i.	i. If Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP	officers?		
	(1) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?		☐Yes	□ No N/A
j.	j. Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?		✓ Yes	□No
5. F	PHYSICAL METHODS OF ARREST X EVALUATED X	ON REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
а	a. Do officers practice weaponless defense?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weap	onless defense?	☐ Yes	□ No NA
b	b. Were demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:			
	(1) Control holds.		✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Punches.		✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Strikes.		✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Blocks.		✓ Yes	□No
	(5) Defensive kicks.		✓ Yes	□No
	(6) Defenses against grabs.		✓ Yes	□No
	(7) Defenses against weapons.		✓ Yes	□ No
-	(8) Ground defense and takedowns.		✓ Yes	□No
	(9) Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.		✓ Yes	□ No

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

	4000	(Nev. 0-00) OF1 009				
С	We	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made	e?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect vuncooperative?	who is standing, kneeling	j, prone, or	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of department	ental policy on handcuffir	ng?	✓ Yes	□No
d	Аг	e all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for off	ensive weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been re	eviewed?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and	thorough searches been	observed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of	f the opposite sex as out	lined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
6. E	NFO	RCEMENT TACTICS	EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
а.	Do of	sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper proced the five options of an enforcement stop?	lures which should be fol	lowed during each	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do ap _l	officers have a constant awareness of their personal safe prehending suspected or known criminals?	ety during enforcement s	tops and when	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard		ability to safely control	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			✓ Yes	□No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?	?		✓ Yes	□No
C.	. Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage situations?					□No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containment having jurisdiction?	nt of the incident until reli	ieved by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline	at all times?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid?				✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to def hostage incidents?	termine their knowledge	of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
7. P	JRSI	JITS	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding t		.h	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Number of units?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	When to discontinue?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursulisted in policy?	✓ Yes	□No		
		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective	actions taken?		✓ Yes	□No
	Doe	es the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure pro				

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

(1) Are any written agreements	on file?			✓ Yes	□No	
(2) Is Division involved in the pla	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process?					
(3) Does the Area have and use	e a pursuit training gui	de tailored to the specific nee	eds of the command?	✓ Yes	□No	
FORCIBLE STOPS		EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED		
a. Are Area personnel knowledgeal	ble regarding the polic	y on forcible stops?		✓ Yes	□No	
(1) Does the Area follow depart	mental policy?			✓ Yes	□No	
(2) Have forcible stop reports be	een reviewed for comp	pliance with policy?		✓ Yes	□No	
(a) If forcible stop policy ha conducted?	s not been complied v	vith, has corrective action be	en taken or training	□Yes	□No N	J/A
ROADBLOCKS		EVALUATED X	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED		
a. Has the Area worked with allied of the hollow spike strip?	agencies to develop p	lans for establishing roadblo	cks and deployment	✓ Yes	□No	
(1) Are strategic points and pers	sonnel assignments or	utlined?		✓ Yes	□No	
(2) Have the officers received in	structions on the prop	per methods of establishing r	oadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No	
(3) Have interagency training se	essions been conducte	ed?		✓ Yes	□No	
		CORRECTED				
a. Are officers familiar with all aspe	cts of the radio contro	I head?		✓ Yes	□No	
c. Can officers demonstrate how to	change the radio fron	n their home Area to another	Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No	
c. Can officers efficiently operate al	I emergency equipme	nt from the radio head?		✓ Yes	□No	
3	(2) Is Division involved in the place (3) Does the Area have and use ORCIBLE STOPS Are Area personnel knowledgear (1) Does the Area follow depart (2) Have forcible stop reports be (a) If forcible stop policy has conducted? COADBLOCKS Has the Area worked with allied of the hollow spike strip? (1) Are strategic points and personal (2) Have the officers received in (3) Have interagency training second (3) Have interagency training second (4) Are officers familiar with all aspendent (5) Can officers demonstrate how to	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guitorial policy. ORCIBLE STOPS Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy. (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for complete variable policy. (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied variable policy. OADBLOCKS Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop professed the hollow spike strip? (1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments of the hollow spike strip. (3) Have the officers received instructions on the properties. RADIO FAMILIARIZATION Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control. Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific new ORCIBLE STOPS Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops? (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action be conducted? (A) Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for establishing roadblo of the hollow spike strip? (1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? (2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing roadblo of the hollow spike strip? (3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted? RADIO FAMILIARIZATION Are officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their home Area to another	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command? ORCIBLE STOPS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command? (ACTION REQUIRED NORCIBLE STOPS ARE Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops? (Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops? (Are Area follow departmental policy? (Betalluated Norcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (Ca) Have forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted? (CA) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted? (CA) Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for establishing roadblocks and deployment of the hollow spike strip? (CA) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing roadblocks? (CA) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing roadblocks? (CA) Have interagency training sessions been conducted? (CA) Have interagency training sessions been conducted? (CA) Action required Note	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command? (4) Yes No CORRECTED CORRECTED ACTION REQUIRED CORRECTED X ACTION REQUIRED CORRECTED Yes No (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (3) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted? COADBLOCKS EVALUATED X ACTION REQUIRED CORRECTED CORRECTED CORRECTED CORRECTED (1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? (2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing roadblocks? (3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted? Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head? Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their home Area to another Area/Division? Yes No Yes No

Refer to notes on attached CHP453.

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION SUPPLEMENT

CHP 454 (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

SECTIONS	COMMENTS		
2. b. (1)	This type of incident is discussed via staff meetings, briefings, 100 form comments, and		
	commendable form 2's.		
2. c. (1) (a) & (b)	The Santa Cruz Area training officer has identified a short in time allotted to for required training.		
	Area management has directed the scheduling supervisor to increase the number of training days		
	to 6.		
2. d. (4)	OST and AOST training is conducted during quarterly training as well as briefings.		
3. a. (1), (2), (3)	N/A: No O/C applications have occurred during the review period. (01/01/2009 - 12/31/2009)		
3. e. (1) & (a)	N/A: No applicable events have occurred during the review period.		
4. a. (1) (a)	This information obtained through supervision and management review of field animal destruction		
	reports.		
4. d. (1), (a), (b)	N/A.		
4. i. (1)	N/A.		
5. a. (2)	N/A.		